
Part 60 vs Part 75 Monitoring Requirements

Louis Nichols

US EPA Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD)

October 30-31, 2001



Part 60 vs Part 75 Monitoring Requirements

Louis Nichols

US EPA Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD)

November 1-2, 2001



Part 60 vs. Part 75 Monitoring

- ◆ Part 60 monitoring is based upon 40 CFR 60:
 - Appendix B; Performance Specifications, and
 - Appendix F; Quality Assurance Procedures

- ◆ Part 75 monitoring is based upon 40 CFR 75:
 - Appendix A; Specifications and Test Procedures, and
 - Appendix B; Quality Assurance and Quality Control Procedures



Differences

- ◆ Span and Range requirements
- ◆ Calibration Drift vs Calibration Error Check
- ◆ Cylinder Gas Audit (CGA) vs Linearity Check
- ◆ RATA Requirements
- ◆ Reference Method differences
- ◆ Moisture Monitoring Requirements



Span and Range Requirements

- ◆ Usually specified by the applicable subpart of part 60
- ◆ Part 75 Appendix A, §2 provides instruction for calculating span
 - Objective: To set the range such that a majority of the readings are between 20% and 80% of the range selected
 - Span is equal to 1.0 to 1.25 times the Maximum Potential Concentration (MPC) or Maximum Potential Flow (MPF)
 - Periodic adjustments of the span and range requirement, Part 75, Appendix A §2.1.2.5



Span vs Range

- ◆ The span is the calculated, quality assured portion of a monitor's measurement range
- ◆ The range is the actual setting of the monitor
- ◆ Range is always \geq Span



Calibration Drift vs Calibration Error Check

	40 CFR 60	40 CFR 75
Performance Specifications (PS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2.5% of Span for NO_x - 0.5% O₂ or CO₂ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2.5% of Span for NO_x, or (≤ 5 ppm for spans < 200 ppm) - 0.5% O₂ or CO₂
Out-of-Control Period	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First failed test over 4x the specification, or - Fifth consecutive failure over 2x the PS (5% of span) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First failed test over 2x the performance specification (5% of span) or alternate std



Cylinder Gas Audit (CGA) vs Linearity Check

40 CFR 60; CGA

- ◆ 2 gases: Low (20-30% of span) and Mid (50-60% of span)
- ◆ Criteria < 15% or ± 5 ppm
- ◆ Performed 3 quarters per year, quarter with the RATA is exempt
- ◆ Tester may use protocol gases or may elect to use alternative no. 2

40 CFR 75; Linearity

- ◆ 3 gases: Low (20-30% of span), Mid (50-60% of span), and High (80-100% of span)
- ◆ Criteria < 5% or ± 5 ppm
- ◆ Performed every QA operating quarter
- ◆ Protocol gases required



Part 60 vs Part 75 RATA Requirements

40 CFR 60

- ◆ RA \leq 20% or 10% of the applicable emission standard for NO_x
- ◆ RA \leq 20% or \pm 1.0 % CO₂ or O₂
- ◆ Test to be conducted with unit operating at more than 50% capacity or at normal load
- ◆ Repeat every four calendar quarters

40 CFR 75

- ◆ RA \leq 10% or \pm 15 ppm for NO_x
- ◆ RA \leq 10% or \pm 1.0 % CO₂ or O₂
- ◆ Test to be conducted with unit operating at normal load as specified by 75 App A, §6.5.2.1
- ◆ Repeat every 2 QA operating quarters unless RA \leq 7.5%, then repeat every 4 QA operating quarters



Reference Method differences

- ◆ 40 CFR 60; test methods are specified in the applicable subpart
- ◆ 40 CFR 75; test methods are specified in §75.22.
 - Not all of the sections in the reference methods are adopted in Part 75 (note Appendix A, §6.5.10 for total NO_x)



Moisture Monitoring Requirements

- ◆ Part 75 requires moisture monitors to pass a moisture RATA
- ◆ Method 4 is the reference method for moisture monitoring systems
- ◆ An oxygen RATA is not acceptable for wet/dry oxygen moisture monitoring systems under part 75
- ◆ Temperature/psychrometric lookup table systems are not required to pass a RATA

